

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/966,856	09/28/2001	Neall Kilstrom	KNX-100-A	4975
75	90 09/12/2003			
WEINER & BURT, P.C.			EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 186 HARRISVILLE	E, MI 48740		CEGIELNIK, U	JRSZULA M
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3712	
			DATE MAILED: 09/12/2003	Ψ

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	^ 1
(A	Advisory Action	09/966,856	KILSTROM, NEALL	(Y)
`•		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Urszula M Cegielnik	3712	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address	5
There final re condit	REPLY FILED 02 September 2003 FAILS TO PLAC fore, further action by the applicant is required to a ejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 tion for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea ination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application application (ation. A proper reply to h places the application	a ı in
	PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
_	The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	=		
b) [no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See	MPEP
fee hav fee und (2) as s	tensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ler 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Official filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.136(a).	of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mai	ount of the fee. The appropriation	ate extension æ action: or
1.	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	Brief must be filed within the pe R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o	eriod set forth in of the appeal.	
2.🛛	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) 🛮 they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);		
(с	 they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or 	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplif	fying the
(d) 🔲 they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of fi	inally rejected claims.	
	NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3.	Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):		
4.	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed ame	endment
5.	The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has been consi	dered but does NOT pla	ace the
6.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were ne	wly
7.🛛	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			an
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
	Claim(s) allowed:			
	Claim(s) objected to:			
	Claim(s) rejected: 21.			
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8.	The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	roved by the Examiner.	
	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen			
	Other:		- - - -	
. ▼			400	
		DER	RIS H. BANKS	
		SUPERVISOR	RY PATENT EXAMINER OGY CENTER 3700	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 04-01)





*Continuation of 2. NOTE: Claim 21 as presently amended appears to raise new issues which would require further consderation and/or search. Specifically, the limitation "said second means includes a transmitter, a transmitter antenna, a receiver, a receiver antenna, and an amplifier, all of which are disposed on a single circuit board and/or in a protective case disposed in the interior of said stuffed animal toy". This limitation was not recited in the previous version of the claim. Additionally, claim 21 appears to raise the issue of new matter where the claim recites "...disposed on a single circuit bard and/or in a protective case disposed in the interior of said stuffed animal toy". Regarding the protective case, the specification makes reference to this protective case being hooked onto a belt or garment of the operator. The specification makes no mention of the protective case being housed in the interior of the stuffed animal toy. The circuit board is mentioned in the specification, but it is not shown in the drawings, and further, it is not clear whether the circuit board is housed i the interior of the stuffed animal toy or the attached to the belt or garment of the operator.